

Determination of phenolic acid profiles by HPLC in lacto-fermented fruits and vegetables (pickle): Effect of pulp and juice portions

Melike Ciniviz¹ | Hilal Yildiz² 

¹Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Food Engineering, Uludag University, Bursa, Turkey

²Faculty of Engineering and Architecture, Department of Food Engineering, Nevsehir Hacı Bektas Veli University, Nevsehir, Turkey

Correspondence

Hilal Yildiz, Faculty of Engineering and Architecture, Department of Food Engineering, Nevsehir Hacı Bektas Veli University, Nevsehir, Turkey.
Email: hilalyildiz@nevsehir.edu.tr

Abstract

Antioxidant activity, total polyphenol content (TPC) and phenolic acid profile of 30 kinds of lacto-fermented fruits and vegetables (pickle) were investigated in the present study. Pulp and juice portions of samples were separately examined and compared for all analysis. Phenolic acid profile of pickle samples was investigated using 9 phenolic acid standards by high-performance liquid chromatography. Results showed that sinapic, syringic, gallic, and chlorogenic acids were the most abundant phenolic acids, while caffeic, vanillic and *trans*-ferulic acids were found in a low concentration and *p*-coumaric and 4-hydroxybenzoic acids were not found. Total antioxidant activity of lacto-fermented fruits and vegetables was measured by both the β -carotene bleaching assay and by the 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl radical scavenging assay. TPC were determined according to the Folin-Ciocalteu method. Our findings show that bioactive compounds depending on juice and pulp portions of pickle samples had significant statistical differences ($p < .05$).

Practical applications

Fermented foods have been consumed for centuries in various parts of the world and are known as rich resources of functionally important microorganisms and bioactive compounds. The present study reports the phenolic acid profile (sinapic, syringic, gallic, chlorogenic, caffeic, vanillic, *trans*-ferulic, *p*-coumaric, 4-hydroxybenzoic acids), antioxidant activity, and total phenolic content of pulp and juice portions of the 30 kinds of lacto-fermented fruits and vegetables (pickle). The phenolic acids of pickles were higher in the juice portions than in the pulp portions and so, the juice portions of pickles have a good potential for commercial exploitation and positive effects on health.

1 | INTRODUCTION

Fermentation is a natural food preservative method using raw material-specific microorganisms and usually carried out to introduce a variety of diets into food preparations. Most food materials are perishable in their raw states (e.g., fruits and vegetables, milk), which make them prone to spoilage attack. Hence, they are fermented by natural inoculation and biochemical activities of microorganisms. Thereby, different types of fruits and vegetables preserve by

organic acid and alcohols formed as a result of fermentation. The fermentation process improves stability of storage and extends shelf life. Additionally, this biotechnological process affects the nutritional value and biological activity of food and contributes to the organoleptic properties. Fermented foods may prevent pathogenic infections through various mechanisms and improve intestinal health, thus have great potential in preventing diseases and maintaining health (Çetin, 2011; Patra, Das, Paramithiotis, & Shin, 2016; Yildiz, 2011).

Fruits and vegetables are important for human health due to their rich nutritional ingredients. They are rich sources of polyphenols, antioxidants, pigments, vitamins, and minerals (Blasa, Gennari, Angelino, & Ninfali, 2010). These plant foods commonly used in the production of various products have also an important place in pickle production. Pickle is a product of lactic acid fermentation and the lactic acid bacteria (LAB) playing a critical role in fermentation are the most important organisms for phenolic compounds found in plant material. Some strains belonging to species of LAB (especially *Lactobacillus plantarum*) may degrade various phenolic compounds (particularly hydroxycinnamic acids) in food and affect aroma of foods (Rodríguez et al., 2009).

Phenolic acids are one of the most important groups of phytochemicals that can be usually found in plant-derived foods, constituting a significant part of our daily diet. The two primary classes of phenolic acids found in plants are C6–C1 and C6–C3. C6–C1 phenolic acids are hydroxybenzoic acids and include gallic, *p*-hydroxybenzoic, protocatechuic, vanillic, salicylic, and syringic acids. C6–C3 phenolic acids are hydroxycinnamic acids and include caffeic, ferulic, sinapic, *p*-coumaric, and chlorogenic acids. Contrary to other phenolic compounds, hydroxybenzoic and hydroxycinnamic acids have acidic qualities due to the presence of a carboxylic group on the molecule (Balasundram, Sundram, & Samman, 2006; Haminiuk, Maciel, Plata-Oviedo, & Peralta, 2012). Phenolic acids exhibit anti-allergic, anti-atherogenic, anti-inflammatory, antimicrobial, antioxidant, anticarcinogenic, and vasodilatory activities. These positive impacts of phenolic acids are attributed to their antioxidant properties (Deng et al., 2013; Haminiuk et al., 2012; Khanam, Oba, Yanase, & Murakami, 2012).

In this study, we examined the total polyphenol content, phenolic acid profile by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), and antioxidant activity of both pulp and juice portion of 30 kinds of samples by considering the insufficiency in the literature on the bioactive compounds of lacto-fermented fruits and vegetables. A previous work reported the effect of pickling on antioxidant activities and total phenolic contents of 10 vegetables (Sayın & Alkan, 2015). However, limited information is available about phenolic acids in different pickle samples.

The present research aimed to (a) determine the quantitative and qualitative properties of 9 main phenolic acids in lacto-fermented fruits and vegetables through HPLC. We also aimed to (b) determine total polyphenols and antioxidant activity in 30 pickles and to (c) exhibit the difference between pulp and juice portions of pickles in terms of bioactive compounds.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Materials

The 30 kinds of lacto-fermented fruits and vegetables were obtained from local producers in Cubuk county (Ankara, Turkey) which hosts the International Pickle and Culture Festival and were brought

to the laboratory in their original packaging. Samples were stored at +4°C until analysis.

2.2 | Chemicals

Standards of 9 phenolic acids were obtained from various manufacturers (Sigma, St. Louis, USA and Merck Gernsheim, Germany). Ethanol, chloroform, Tween 40, β -carotene, DPPH• and linoleic acid were supplied by Sigma (Sigma, St. Louis, CO, USA). Folin–Ciocalteu phenol reactant, sodium carbonate, BHA, and BHT were purchased from Merck (Gernsheim, Germany). All chemicals and solvents used were analytical or HPLC grade.

2.3 | Extraction

Total polyphenol, antioxidant, and phenolic compounds were extracted from the pulp portion of pickle according to Meng et al. (2011). A total of 25 g from pulp portion of each pickle sample was weighed and disintegrated in a laboratory-type blender. Then, 3 g of this mixture was extracted from 20 ml of 80% methanol aqueous solution containing 1M HCl. Samples were sonicated in an ultrasonic bath (SK06GT Kudos ultrasonic water bath, Korea) for 30 min at room temperature. Then the extract was centrifuged at 8,000× *g* for 15 min in a high-speed refrigerated centrifuge (Hanil Science Industrial Combi 514R, Korea). The supernatant obtained was transferred to a 50-ml volumetric flask. After repeating extractions, three supernatants were combined. On the other hand, the juice portions of pickles were extracted according to Xu, Yue, Bian, Zhai, and Yao (2018) with some modifications. Three milliliters of the sample was mixed with 20 ml of acidified methanol and sonicated in an ultrasonic bath for 30 min. The supernatant was centrifuged at 8,000× *g* for 15 min. This extraction process was repeated 3 times. All the combined supernatants were filtered through a 0.45- μ m PTFE syringe filter and stored at –80°C (Operon deep freeze –86°C, Korea), until analysis.

2.4 | Determination of antioxidant activity of extracts

2.4.1 | β -carotene Bleaching

Assay was assessed according to Şengül, Erkaya, Şengül, and Yıldız (2012). First, 0.1 mg of β -carotene was dissolved in 1 ml of chloroform, then 40 mg of linoleic acid and 200 mg of Tween 40 were added. The mixture was evaporated at 50°C by means of a rotary evaporator to remove chloroform. Then 100 ml of distilled water saturated with oxygen was added slowly to the residue and shaken to give a stable emulsion. Then, 800 μ l of the extract was added to 3-ml aliquots of β -carotene/linoleic acid emulsion. As soon as the emulsion was added to test tubes, the zero-time absorbance was

measured at 470 nm against a blank sample using a visible spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV-1208, Japan). The mixtures were incubated at 50°C for 100 min, and the measurement was carried out at 10-min intervals for 100 min. The blank sample was prepared by lacking β -carotene, and butylated hydroxyanisole (BHA, a synthetic antioxidant) and butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT, a synthetic antioxidant) were used as standard. All samples were assayed in triplicate. The degradation rate (DR) was calculated according to first-order kinetics using the following equation:

$$DR_{\text{sample, control, standard}} = \ln(a/b) \times 1/t$$

where \ln is natural log, a is the initial absorbance (470 nm) at time 0, b is the absorbance (470 nm) at 100 min, and t is time.

Antioxidant activity (AA) was expressed as a percentage of inhibition relative to the control, using the following formula:

$$AA = (DR_{\text{control}} - DR_{\text{sample or standard}}) / DR_{\text{control}} \times 100$$

2.4.2 | DPPH• (2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl)

Assay was performed according to the method of Şengül et al. (2012). To evaluate the free radical scavenging activity, the extracts were allowed to react with a stable free radical (DPPH•). The absorbance was measured at 517 nm against blank samples lacking scavenger.

2.5 | Determination of total phenolic content

TPC was evaluated by the Folin-Ciocalteu method, using gallic acid as reference compound. Briefly, 1 ml of the solution (contains 1 mg sample) extract in water was pipetted into a glass amber reagent bottle. Then, 46 ml of distilled water and 1 ml of Folin-Ciocalteu reagent were added and mixed thoroughly. The mixture was left to stand for 3 min, and 3.0 ml of 2% sodium carbonate was added. After 120 min of incubation at ambient temperature with shaking, the resulting absorbance was measured against a blank sample at 760 nm. All measurements were performed in triplicate. Results are expressed by reference to the calibration curve as micrograms of Gallic Acid Equivalents per milligram sample (Şengül et al., 2012).

2.6 | Determination of phenolic acid profile

Phenolic acid profile of pickle samples was determined according to Gundogdu (2013) and Dragovic-Uzelac, Delonga, Levaj, Djakovic, and Pospisil (2005) with some modifications. Each extract was analyzed in an HPLC system (Shimadzu SPD-M20A PDA). Separation was achieved on a reversed-phase ODS column (5 μm , 4.6 \times 250 mm) maintained at 30°C by a thermostat. The binary mobile phase contained both methanol (solvent A) and 2% acetic acid-H₂O (solvent B), which was pumped at a flow rate of 0.8 ml/min and 20 μl of the

injection volume was used for spectral measurements at 245 and 340 nm.

2.7 | Statistical analysis

All measurements and analyses were carried out in triplicate. Results were analyzed statistically using SPSS 22.0 program. Variance analysis was performed to determine the differences in the phenolic content, phenolic acid profile, and antioxidant activity of samples, as well as to establish in terms of these parameters the differences between both pulp and juice portions of samples.

3 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 | Antioxidant activities of lacto-fermented fruits and vegetable samples

Determination of antioxidant activity was conducted by means of two different spectrophotometric methods (β -carotene bleaching assay and DPPH•) (Tables 1 and 2). There were significant statistical differences ($p < .05$) in antioxidant activity depending on pulp and juice portions of pickle samples. The β -carotene bleaching assay is the oldest and commonly applied method of estimating the antioxidant activity of food and beverages. According to the results of this assay, the highest antioxidant activity in the pulp portions was found in purple cabbage (86.01 \pm 4.52%), followed by pine cone pickle (82.83 \pm 3.25%), okra pickle (82.42 \pm 4.46%), and wild pear pickle (81.91 \pm 0.21%) (Table 1). Purple cabbage which has a high antioxidant activity is among the most consumed vegetables worldwide (Mizgier et al., 2016). On the other hand, the lowest antioxidant activity in the pulp portions was found in greengage plum pickle (45.08 \pm 5.47%) (Table 1). In the juice portions of samples, pine cone pickle (96.95 \pm 0.00%) exhibited the highest antioxidant activity, while rock samphire pickle possessed the lowest antioxidant activity (54.29 \pm 3.70%) (Table 1). Among the pickle samples, the most noteworthy was pine cone pickle. In the literature it was reported that polyphenols in pine cone have a therapeutic effect in the treatment of degenerative diseases like cancer (Yi, Qu, Wu, Wang, & Wang, 2017; Yi et al., 2018). Though this material is not used for consumption under normal conditions, the usage of pine cone in pickle production is quite remarkable when considering that its polyphenols pass into the pickle juice.

This study showed that antioxidant activities of the juice extracts of sweet long green pepper, jalapeno, and white cabbage pickles were similar to BHA. Furthermore, the antioxidant activities of the juice extracts of okra, ornamental pepper, and green bean pickles were similar to another synthetic antioxidant BHT. In addition, pine cone, sour grapes, purple cabbage, and bitter greengage pickles had higher antioxidant activities than the BHA and BHT (Table 1).

DPPH• is a stable nitrogen-centered and lipophilic-free radical that has been widely accepted for estimating the free radical

TABLE 1 Antioxidant activity values for juice and pulp extracts of lacto-fermented fruits and vegetables according to the β -carotene bleaching assay

| Lacto-fermented fruits and vegetables | β -carotene bleaching assay (%) | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | Pulp | Juice |
| Chard pickle | 62.67 \pm 4.92 ^{ijklB} | 70.34 \pm 5.66 ^{mA} |
| Purple cabbage pickle | 86.01 \pm 4.52 ^{abB} | 95.29 \pm 0.64 ^{abcA} |
| Beetroot pickle | 76.33 \pm 7.13 ^{cdefgA} | 72.82 \pm 0.58 ^{lmB} |
| Carrots pickle | 72.61 \pm 3.92 ^{efghB} | 91.65 \pm 0.17 ^{defgA} |
| Eggplant pickle | 75.78 \pm 2.09 ^{cdefgB} | 92.66 \pm 1.16 ^{bcddefA} |
| Gherkins pickle | 70.94 \pm 2.02 ^{fghiB} | 93.80 \pm 0.98 ^{bcdA} |
| White cabbage pickle | 64.21 \pm 0.16 ^{hijklB} | 93.04 \pm 0.01 ^{bcddeA} |
| Pine cone pickle | 82.83 \pm 3.25 ^{bcB} | 96.95 \pm 0.00 ^{aA} |
| Tomato dip pickle | 72.85 \pm 0.66 ^{efghB} | 77.19 \pm 1.94 ^{jkA} |
| Hot pepper pickle | 75.69 \pm 0.34 ^{cdefgB} | 92.84 \pm 0.04 ^{bcddefA} |
| Tomatoes pickle | 69.57 \pm 1.75 ^{fghijB} | 86.98 \pm 0.17 ^{hA} |
| Okra pickle | 82.42 \pm 4.46 ^{bcB} | 92.20 \pm 0.02 ^{cdefA} |
| Green almonds pickle | 61.65 \pm 6.91 ^{ijklB} | 74.85 \pm 0.01 ^{klA} |
| Ornamental pepper pickle | 61.42 \pm 4.28 ^{ijklB} | 92.35 \pm 0.01 ^{cdefA} |
| Green beans pickle | 68.45 \pm 0.87 ^{fghijkB} | 92.24 \pm 0.03 ^{cdefA} |
| Capia pepper pickle | 50.50 \pm 4.85 ^{mnB} | 66.77 \pm 5.53 ^{nA} |
| Greengage plums pickle | 45.08 \pm 5.47 ^{nB} | 86.73 \pm 1.38 ^{hA} |
| Wild pears pickle | 81.91 \pm 0.31 ^{bcdA} | 81.68 \pm 0.57 ^{iA} |
| White cucumber pickle | 59.40 \pm 12.40 ^{klB} | 81.11 \pm 0.68 ^{iA} |
| Mixed vegetables ¹ pickle | 73.27 \pm 13.27 ^{defgB} | 89.65 \pm 0.22 ^{fghA} |
| Mixed vegetables ² pickle | 76.76 \pm 0.75 ^{cdefB} | 90.24 \pm 0.20 ^{efgA} |
| Bitter greengage pickle | 63.25 \pm 4.29 ^{ijklB} | 94.80 \pm 0.22 ^{abcdA} |
| Jalapeno peppers pickle | 70.08 \pm 4.19 ^{fghijB} | 93.00 \pm 0.54 ^{bcddeA} |
| Sour grapes pickle | 75.24 \pm 2.76 ^{cdefgB} | 95.97 \pm 0.41 ^{abA} |
| Unripe melon pickle | 50.59 \pm 1.48 ^{mnB} | 78.09 \pm 0.34 ^{ijA} |
| Cauliflower pickle | 67.39 \pm 4.84 ^{ghijkB} | 88.68 \pm 0.60 ^{ghA} |
| Rock samphire pickle | 67.39 \pm 4.84 ^{ghijkA} | 54.29 \pm 3.70 ^{oB} |
| Sweet long green pepper pickle | 57.33 \pm 2.52 ^{lmB} | 93.32 \pm 0.53 ^{bcddeA} |
| Bitter long green pepper pickle | 81.00 \pm 0.00 ^{bcddeB} | 92.65 \pm 0.34 ^{bcddefA} |
| Sloes pickle | 59.50 \pm 0.50 ^{klB} | 88.63 \pm 0.96 ^{ghA} |
| BHA | 93.49 \pm 0.97 ^{aA} | 93.49 \pm 0.97 ^{bcddeA} |
| BHT | 92.00 \pm 2.19 ^{aA} | 92.00 \pm 2.20 ^{cdefA} |

^{a-m}Within each column, values with different superscript (lowercase) are significantly different ($p < .001$).

^{Aa}Within each line, values with different superscript (uppercase) are significantly different ($p < .05$).

scavenging activities of antioxidants. According to the results of this assay, the highest antioxidant activity in the pulp portions was found in bitter long green pepper pickle (2.94 \pm 0.03 μ g/ml), followed by

TABLE 2 Antioxidant activity values for juice and pulp extracts of lacto-fermented fruits and vegetables according to the DPPH method

| Lacto-fermented fruits and vegetables | DPPH (IC ₅₀ μ g/ml) | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | Pulp | Juice |
| Chard pickle | 23.74 \pm 0.46 ^{lmA} | 82.30 \pm 1.66 ^{eB} |
| Purple cabbage pickle | 22.98 \pm 0.57 ^{klmA} | 58.07 \pm 0.17 ^{bcdB} |
| Beetroot pickle | 19.71 \pm 0.81 ^{ijklA} | 88.72 \pm 6.82 ^{eB} |
| Carrots pickle | 19.11 \pm 0.07 ^{hijkA} | nd |
| Eggplant pickle | 15.95 \pm 2.81 ^{fghiA} | nd |
| Gherkins pickle | 10.91 \pm 0.39 ^{cdeA} | 461.54 \pm 74.32 ^{kB} |
| White cabbage pickle | nd | nd |
| Pine cone pickle | nd | 28.00 \pm 0.62 ^a |
| Tomato dip pickle | 17.88 \pm 0.01 ^{ghijA} | 86.57 \pm 0.90 ^{eB} |
| Hot pepper pickle | 15.34 \pm 0.32 ^{fghA} | 78.43 \pm 0.12 ^{deB} |
| Tomatoes pickle | 20.42 \pm 0.49 ^{klmA} | nd |
| Okra pickle | 8.89 \pm 1.22 ^{bcdA} | 105.82 \pm 19.95 ^{fB} |
| Green almonds pickle | 11.59 \pm 0.02 ^{deA} | 40.15 \pm 1.63 ^{bB} |
| Ornamental pepper pickle | 17.51 \pm 0.08 ^{ghijA} | 57.37 \pm 6.31 ^{bcdB} |
| Green beans pickle | 19.70 \pm 4.26 ^{ijkl} | nd |
| Capia pepper pickle | 11.38 \pm 0.03 ^{deA} | 66.31 \pm 0.68 ^{cdB} |
| Greengage plums pickle | 16.87 \pm 0.32 ^{ghijA} | 74.66 \pm 3.81 ^{cdeB} |
| Wild pears pickle | 20.77 \pm 1.92 ^{klmA} | 74.06 \pm 1.50 ^{cdeB} |
| White cucumber pickle | 23.31 \pm 0.71 ^{lmA} | 286.06 \pm 51.34 ^{hB} |
| Mixed vegetables ¹ pickle | 22.01 \pm 0.58 ^{klmA} | 323.54 \pm 13.87 ^{iB} |
| Mixed vegetables ² pickle | 22.21 \pm 0.21 ^{klmA} | 504.86 \pm 29.22 ^{iB} |
| Bitter greengage pickle | 19.97 \pm 1.59 ^{ijklA} | 90.74 \pm 7.16 ^{eB} |
| Jalapeno peppers pickle | 9.11 \pm 0.02 ^{bcdA} | 411.94 \pm 19.12 ^{jB} |
| Sour grapes pickle | 7.44 \pm 3.85 ^{bcA} | 104.87 \pm 1.75 ^{fB} |
| Unripe melon pickle | 13.01 \pm 0.31 ^{efA} | 219.26 \pm 44.49 ^{gB} |
| Cauliflower pickle | 23.33 \pm 0.05 ^{lmA} | 287.50 \pm 6.71 ^{hB} |
| Rock samphire pickle | 24.37 \pm 8.54 ^{mA} | 42.15 \pm 1.50 ^{bcB} |
| Sweet long green pepper pickle | 6.84 \pm 2.80 ^{bA} | 38.31 \pm 4.64 ^{bB} |
| Bitter long green pepper pickle | 2.94 \pm 0.03 ^{aA} | 110.79 \pm 8.23 ^{fB} |
| Sloes pickle | 14.62 \pm 3.00 ^{efgA} | 56.28 \pm 0.62 ^{bcdB} |

^{a-m}Within each column, values with different superscript (lowercase) are significantly different ($p < .001$).

^{A,B}Within each line, values with different superscript (uppercase) are significantly different ($p < .05$).

sweet long green pepper pickle (6.84 \pm 2.80 μ g/ml) and sour grape pickle (7.44 \pm 3.85 μ g/ml). Zhuang, Chen, Sun, and Cao (2012) investigated antioxidant activity and bioactive properties of 9 pepper varieties. They reported that IC₅₀ values of fresh pepper varieties found ranging from 135.13 to 366.67 μ g/ml. In our study, IC₅₀ values in pulp portions of 6 pepper pickles varied between 2.94 and 17.51 μ g/ml. These values are higher than reported by Zhuang et al. (2012). The

difference may be due to growing conditions of plant and fermentation process. The lowest antioxidant activity of pulp portions was detected in rock samphire pickle, showing $24.37 \pm 8.54 \mu\text{g/ml}$ (Table 2). Rock samphire, which is also known as sea fennel or sea peppergrass, is a salt-resistant Mediterranean plant. It grows commonly on rocks and its leaves are also used as a component in salads (Mekinić et al., 2018; Meot-Duros & Magné, 2009). The highest ($28.00 \pm 0.62 \mu\text{g/ml}$) and lowest ($504.86 \pm 29.22 \mu\text{g/ml}$) antioxidant activities in juice portions of pickle samples were observed in pine cone pickle and mixed vegetable pickle², respectively.

Unlike the β -carotene bleaching assay, the results of the DPPH assay indicated that the antioxidant activity of the pulp extracts of pickle samples was higher according to the juice extracts. This difference may be due to the detection method used. This result points out the importance of using various methods to determine the antioxidant activity of any sample.

3.2 | Total phenolic contents of lacto-fermented fruits and vegetable samples

TPC of 30 kinds of pickle samples were determined spectrophotometrically, and a large variability among them was observed. TPC showed a significant difference ($p < .001$) in all kinds of pickles (Table 3). The highest TPC in the juice portions of samples was detected in pine cone pickle ($235.19 \pm 1.06 \mu\text{g GAE/mg}$), while the lowest TPC was found in tomato pickle ($16.94 \pm 0.81 \mu\text{g GAE/mg}$). The highest ($135.39 \pm 1.26 \mu\text{g/ml}$) and lowest ($4.82 \pm 0.02 \mu\text{g/ml}$) TPC in pulp portions of pickle samples were observed in pine cone pickle and green bean pickle, respectively. When the juice and pulp extracts of samples except the wild pear and sour grape pickles are compared, the pickle juice extracts showed significantly higher total polyphenol content than the pulp extracts ($p < .05$) (Table 3). These variations in concentrations can be explained by the hydrophilicity and polarity differences among the phenolic compounds.

Our results showed that TPC was not detected in the pulp portions of carrot and white cabbage pickles. Tinrat (2016) reported that TPC in white cabbage leaves were $0.0084 \mu\text{g GAE/g}$. Results of our study were in agreement with previously reported by Tinrat (2016). TPC values in the juice portions of carrot and white cabbage pickles were found as 19.68 ± 0.88 and $27.81 \pm 1.01 \mu\text{g GAE/mg}$, respectively.

TPC was found as $11.26 \pm 0.46 \mu\text{g GAE/mg}$ in the pulp portion of okra pickle. Jiang et al. (2017) reported that TPC in fresh okra was 12.73 mg GAE/g . This result was similar to our findings. TPC in the juice portion of okra pickle was higher than in the pulp portion, showing $144.66 \pm 18.00 \mu\text{g GAE/mg}$ (Table 3).

In this study, TPC in the pulp portion of tomato pickle was identified as $4.90 \pm 0.10 \mu\text{g GAE/mg}$, while this value was $16.94 \pm 0.81 \mu\text{g GAE/mg}$ in the juice portion. For gherkin and white cucumber pickles, the TPC in pulp portion were 6.60 ± 0.20 and $6.84 \pm 0.71 \mu\text{g GAE/mg}$, respectively, while these values in the juice portion were 26.51 ± 1.04 and $31.79 \pm 2.32 \mu\text{g GAE/mg}$,

TABLE 3 Total phenolic content (TPC) of juice and pulp extracts of lacto-fermented fruits and vegetables

| Lacto-fermented fruits and vegetables | TPC ($\mu\text{g GAE/mg}$) | |
|---------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| | Pulp | Juice |
| Chard pickle | $6.63 \pm 0.15^{\text{IB}}$ | $63.46 \pm 0.66^{\text{IA}}$ |
| Purple cabbage pickle | $12.95 \pm 0.52^{\text{fB}}$ | $181.80 \pm 3.00^{\text{cA}}$ |
| Beetroot pickle | $7.14 \pm 0.99^{\text{klB}}$ | $230.18 \pm 1.38^{\text{aA}}$ |
| Carrots pickle | nd | $19.68 \pm 0.88^{\text{st}}$ |
| Eggplant pickle | $8.01 \pm 0.12^{\text{jkB}}$ | $103.69 \pm 1.56^{\text{hA}}$ |
| Gherkins pickle | $6.60 \pm 0.20^{\text{IB}}$ | $26.51 \pm 1.04^{\text{prA}}$ |
| White cabbage pickle | nd | $27.81 \pm 1.01^{\text{pr}}$ |
| Pine cone pickle | $135.39 \pm 1.26^{\text{aB}}$ | $235.19 \pm 1.06^{\text{aA}}$ |
| Tomato dip pickle | $5.42 \pm 0.04^{\text{mB}}$ | $87.18 \pm 1.05^{\text{kA}}$ |
| Hot pepper pickle | $11.75 \pm 0.28^{\text{gB}}$ | $89.33 \pm 1.20^{\text{jkA}}$ |
| Tomatoes pickle | $4.90 \pm 0.10^{\text{mB}}$ | $16.94 \pm 0.81^{\text{tA}}$ |
| Okra pickle | $11.26 \pm 0.46^{\text{ghB}}$ | $144.66 \pm 18.00^{\text{eA}}$ |
| Green almonds pickle | $16.00 \pm 0.53^{\text{eB}}$ | $158.01 \pm 2.54^{\text{dA}}$ |
| Ornamental pepper pickle | $8.41 \pm 0.28^{\text{jB}}$ | $129.61 \pm 2.14^{\text{fA}}$ |
| Green beans pickle | $4.82 \pm 0.02^{\text{mB}}$ | $18.46 \pm 0.99^{\text{stA}}$ |
| Capia pepper pickle | $10.44 \pm 0.97^{\text{hiB}}$ | $130.31 \pm 1.51^{\text{fA}}$ |
| Greengage plums pickle | $10.67 \pm 0.13^{\text{gh}0042}$ | $95.76 \pm 0.96^{\text{IA}}$ |
| Wild pears pickle | $100.21 \pm 1.41^{\text{bA}}$ | $94.13 \pm 1.33^{\text{jB}}$ |
| White cucumber pickle | $6.84 \pm 0.71^{\text{klB}}$ | $31.79 \pm 2.32^{\text{opA}}$ |
| Mixed vegetables ¹ pickle | $7.79 \pm 0.33^{\text{jkB}}$ | $35.86 \pm 1.06^{\text{noA}}$ |
| Mixed vegetables ² pickle | $9.98 \pm 0.51^{\text{IB}}$ | $23.62 \pm 0.82^{\text{rsA}}$ |
| Bitter greengage pickle | $13.47 \pm 0.00^{\text{fB}}$ | $87.87 \pm 1.07^{\text{kA}}$ |
| Jalapeno peppers pickle | $11.03 \pm 0.90^{\text{gh}^{\text{hiB}}}$ | $38.51 \pm 1.04^{\text{nA}}$ |
| Sour grapes pickle | $93.95 \pm 1.82^{\text{cA}}$ | $86.80 \pm 0.00^{\text{kB}}$ |
| Unripe melon pickle | $5.09 \pm 0.29^{\text{mB}}$ | $47.79 \pm 0.99^{\text{mA}}$ |
| Cauliflower pickle | $8.64 \pm 0.51^{\text{jB}}$ | $64.40 \pm 0.93^{\text{IA}}$ |
| Rock samphire pickle | $11.19 \pm 0.39^{\text{gh}^{\text{hiB}}}$ | $128.13 \pm 1.33^{\text{fA}}$ |
| Sweet long green pepper pickle | $17.53 \pm 1.40^{\text{dB}}$ | $193.73 \pm 3.60^{\text{bA}}$ |
| Bitter long green pepper pickle | $16.16 \pm 0.69^{\text{eB}}$ | $95.29 \pm 1.16^{\text{ijA}}$ |
| Sloes pickle | $15.16 \pm 0.31^{\text{eB}}$ | $112.44 \pm 2.31^{\text{eA}}$ |

^{a-t}Within each column, values with different superscript (lowercase) are significantly different ($p < .001$).

^{A,B}Within each line, values with different superscript (uppercase) are significantly different ($p < .05$).

respectively. In the pulp and juice portions of sour grape pickle, the TPC were determined as 93.95 ± 1.82 and $86.80 \pm 0.00 \mu\text{g GAE/mg}$, respectively. A study conducted on TPC of several fruits and vegetables reported that TPC was found to be 0.42 mg/g in tomatoes,

0.11 mg/g in gherkins, and 1.53 mg/g in ripe grapes (Ellong, Billard, Adenet, & Rochefort, 2015). Lutz, Hernández, and Henríquez (2015) reported as 2.3 mg GAE/g of TPC in fresh tomatoes. This difference may be due to the ripening period of plant and fermentation process.

TPC in the pulp and juice portions of eggplant pickle were detected as 8.01 ± 0.12 and 103.69 ± 1.56 μg GAE/mg, respectively (Table 3). A study performed on the phenolic content and antioxidant capacity of fresh and dried fruits and vegetables reported that TPC of fresh carrots, eggplant, and fresh green pepper were determined as 3.7, 9.3, and 6.9 mg GAE/g, respectively. On the other hand, they reported that TPC of dried carrots, eggplant, and green pepper were 19.90, 80.10, and 58.30 mg GAE/g, respectively (Lutz et al., 2015). The TPC in the pulp portion of jalapeno pickle in our research was determined to be 11.03 ± 0.90 μg GAE/mg, while the juice portion contained 38.51 ± 1.04 μg GAE/mg. Blanco-Rios et al. (2017) reported that the fermentation process could cause a reduction in TPC and antioxidant capacity of jalapeno peppers. Sayin and Alkan (2015) reported that the fermentation process caused an increase in bioactive compounds of vegetables. Among the possible causes of this situation was the structural disintegration of plant cell walls and so the synthesis of various antioxidant compounds. Additionally, many factors such as microorganism, temperature, pH, and fermentation duration may cause a significant change in the antioxidant properties of fruits and vegetables (Sayin & Alkan, 2015). Considering that some of the phenolic compounds pass into the juice portion during the fermentation process, a research which only includes the pulp portion cannot mention loss of bioactive compounds. In this context, our findings showed that the TPC was higher in juice portions for all samples apart from wild pear and sour grape pickles (Table 3).

3.3 | Phenolic acid profile of lacto-fermented fruits and vegetable samples

The concentrations and composition of 9 phenolic acids in 30 kinds of pickles were determined using the HPLC system. In the previous researches, antioxidant activity and total polyphenol content of few pickles were studied, and the research on the comparison of phenolic acid content in different pickles was not found. The distribution of phenolic acids in different pickles is shown in Table 4.

Gallic acid (detected in 22 pickles), chlorogenic acid (detected in 30 pickles), vanillic acid (detected in 30 pickles), caffeic acid (detected in 30 pickles), sinapic acid (detected in 30 pickles), *trans*-ferulic acid (detected in 3 pickles), and syringic acid (detected in 21 pickles) were found in the juice portion of pickles. On the other hand, gallic acid (detected in 15 pickles), chlorogenic acid (detected in 17 pickles), vanillic acid (detected in 12 pickles), caffeic acid (detected in 22 pickles), sinapic acid (detected in 30 pickles), *trans*-ferulic acid (detected in 16 pickles), and syringic acid (detected in 1 pickle) were found in the pulp portion of pickles. *p*-Coumaric acid and 4-hydroxybenzoic

acid were not detected in both pulp and juice portions of pickles. The phenolic acids of pickles were different in pulps and juices (Table 4).

Sinapic acid is a phytochemical commonly found in foods such as fruits, vegetables, oily seeds, cereal grains, and medical aromatic plants (Martinović, Abramovič, & Ulrih, 2019). Positive effects on health such as UV protection, anticarcinogenic and anti-inflammatory properties, and cardiovascular protection have been reported (Aguilar-Hernández et al., 2017). Sinapic acid (104.25 mg/kg-236.32 mg/L) was found in both pulp and juice portions of all lacto-fermented vegetables and fruits.

Ferulic acid, a hydroxycinnamic acid, is a natural antioxidant found in plant cell walls. It has a high antioxidant activity and low toxicity (Flores et al., 2016). In recent years, intensive studies have been performed about the effects of ferulic acid and its derivatives on cancer, cardiovascular diseases, diabetes, and skin diseases (Zhang et al., 2018). Among pickle samples, the most noteworthy pickle sample in terms of *trans*-ferulic acid content was the purple cabbage pickle (68.03 ± 3.48 mg/L in the juice portion). Lee et al. (2018) reported that ferulic acid in purple cabbage was 1,660 $\mu\text{g}/\text{g}$ and that the seasons affected the concentration of this compound. However, *trans*-ferulic acid was also detected in the juice portions of okra and capia pepper pickles. Results showed that the pulp portions of samples except mixed vegetable pickle², sour grape, cauliflower, and sweet long green pepper pickles contain *trans*-ferulic acid.

Syringic acid is a phenolic compound synthesized in plants with the shikimic acid pathway and is commonly found in fruits and vegetables. The syringic acid has therapeutic effects for many degenerative diseases due to antioxidant, antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory, anti-tendotoxic, neuro and hepato-protective activities (Cheemanapalli, Mopuri, Golla, Anuradha, & Chitt, 2018). Of all samples, cauliflower pickle has higher syringic acid content in comparison with other phenolic acids ($1,066.85 \pm 4.29$ mg/L in juice portion). This sample was followed by white cucumber and white cabbage. In the juice portion of white cabbage were detected chlorogenic, vanillic, caffeic, syringic, and sinapic acids while in the pulp portion of white cabbage were found sinapic and *trans*-ferulic acids (Table 4). Hounsome, Hounsome, Tomos, and Edwards-Jones (2009) reported that caffeic, coumaric, gallic, syringic, and vanillic acids were among the phenolic acids of white cabbage. Syringic acid (45.93 ± 4.65 mg/kg) was found in only wild pear pickle within the pulp portions of samples (Table 4).

We determined gallic, chlorogenic, vanillic, caffeic, syringic, and sinapic acids in juice portions of the white cucumber and gherkin pickles (Table 4). The white cucumber and/or gherkin are commonly used in pickle production and contain many important components such as flavonoid, tannin, alkaloid, saponin, and steroids. They can be used fresh in salads and hamburgers. Due to the presence of anthraquinone and saponin it was reported that white cucumber and/or gherkin display antibacterial and antifungal properties against clinical pathogens (Thiruvengadam & Chung, 2015; Yoon, Chung, & Thiruvengadam, 2015).

Chlorogenic acid has anticarcinogenic, anti-inflammatory, antimicrobial, antioxidant, antiobesity, cardioprotective, hypotensive, and neuroprotective effects. Additionally, chlorogenic acid plays

TABLE 4 Composition and concentrations of 9 phenolic acids in juice and pulp extracts of 30 lacto-fermented fruits and vegetables

| Lacto-fermented fruits and vegetables | Juice (mg/L) | Pulp (mg/kg) | Juice (mg/L) | Pulp (mg/kg) | Juice (mg/L) | Pulp (mg/kg) |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|
| | Gallic acid | | 4- hydroxybenzoic acid | | Syringic acid | |
| Chard pickle | 34.57 ± 1.97 ^{sA} | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| Purple cabbage pickle | 73.28 ± 6.13 ^{hA} | nd | nd | nd | 114.50 ± 1.61 ^{rA} | nd |
| Beetroot pickle | nd | 117.94 ± 4.79 ^{hA} | nd | nd | 430.20 ± 2.39 ^{eA} | nd |
| Carrots pickle | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| Eggplant pickle | 104.80 ± 3.68 ^{bB} | 179.92 ± 0.94 ^{dA} | nd | nd | 296.64 ± 2.59 ^{iA} | nd |
| Gherkins pickle | 30.37 ± 1.67 ^t | nd | nd | nd | 57.79 ± 1.51 ^{uA} | nd |
| White cabbage pickle | nd | nd | nd | nd | 798.48 ± 3.71 ^{cA} | nd |
| Pine cones pickle | nd | nd | nd | nd | 237.65 ± 3.48 ^{nA} | nd |
| Tomato dip pickle | nd | 13.37 ± 1.12 ^{oA} | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| Hot pepper pickle | 65.94 ± 4.79 ^{kB} | 248.81 ± 0.01 ^{aA} | nd | nd | 271.74 ± 2.59 ^{iA} | nd |
| Tomatoes pickle | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| Okra pickle | 67.80 ± 4.68 ^{iB} | 204.99 ± 0.01 ^{bA} | nd | nd | 16.64 ± 1.85 ^{vA} | nd |
| Green almonds pickle | 80.18 ± 5.72 ^{dB} | 165.77 ± 2.41 ^{fA} | nd | nd | 109.17 ± 0.72 ^{sA} | nd |
| Ornamental pepper pickle | 66.50 ± 0.91 ^{iA} | nd | nd | nd | 228.77 ± 3.58 ^{oA} | nd |
| Green beans pickle | 25.44 ± 5.23 ^{vA} | nd | nd | nd | 101.51 ± 1.45 ^{tA} | nd |
| Capia pepper pickle | 75.55 ± 3.59 ^{fA} | nd | nd | nd | 347.10 ± 1.26 ^{hA} | nd |
| Greengage plums pickle | 78.03 ± 1.81 ^{eA} | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| Wild pears pickle | 146.81 ± 1.69 ^{aA} | nd | nd | nd | 517.03 ± 1.69 ^{dA} | 45.93 ± 4.65 ^{aB} |
| White cucumber pickle | 46.23 ± 1.76 ^{oA} | nd | nd | nd | 964.93 ± 2.83 ^{bA} | nd |
| Mixed vegetables ¹ pickle | 58.13 ± 1.03 ^{iA} | 41.05 ± 0.02 ^{nB} | nd | nd | 249.01 ± 4.61 ^{mA} | nd |
| Mixed vegetables ² pickle | 35.40 ± 4.87 ^{rB} | 175.61 ± 3.89 ^{eA} | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| Bitter greengage pickle | nd | 45.28 ± 0.70 ^{mA} | nd | nd | 412.94 ± 1.63 ^{fA} | nd |
| Jalapeno peppers pickle | nd | nd | nd | nd | 272.78 ± 2.67 ^{kA} | nd |
| Sour grapes pickle | 48.69 ± 3.60 ^{nA} | nd | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| Unripe melon pickle | 45.32 ± 5.23 ^{pB} | 90.44 ± 5.08 ^{kA} | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| Cauliflower pickle | 90.45 ± 1.63 ^{cB} | 187.91 ± 0.45 ^{cA} | nd | nd | 1,066.85 ± 4.29 ^{aA} | nd |
| Rock samphire pickle | 28.21 ± 1.98 ^{uB} | 91.82 ± 1.67 ^{iA} | nd | nd | nd | nd |
| Sweet long green pepper pickle | 73.63 ± 2.48 ^{gA} | 62.76 ± 0.16 ^{iB} | nd | nd | 303.74 ± 2.36 ^{iA} | nd |
| Bitter long green pepper pickle | 67.65 ± 2.84 ^{iB} | 164.09 ± 0.03 ^{gA} | nd | nd | 357.25 ± 4.51 ^{gA} | nd |
| Sloes pickle | 51.84 ± 1.71 ^{mB} | 92.21 ± 0.16 ^{iA} | nd | nd | 200.13 ± 1.58 ^{pA} | nd |
| | Vanillic Acid | | Caffeic acid | | Chlorogenic acid | |
| Chard pickle | 3.33 ± 5.23 ^{nA} | nd | 30.06 ± 0.37 ^{sB} | 31.94 ± 2.74 ^{aA} | 76.19 ± 3.27 ^{iA} | 66.34 ± 0.73 ^{bB} |
| Purple cabbage pickle | 6.03 ± 1.59 ^{iA} | nd | 36.82 ± 3.27 ^{gA} | 31.20 ± 1.78 ^{cdB} | 63.73 ± 1.58 ^{rB} | 66.34 ± 3.48 ^{bA} |
| Beetroot pickle | 17.15 ± 3.76 ^{bA} | nd | 31.97 ± 2.59 ^{nA} | 31.11 ± 5.82 ^{deB} | 63.31 ± 3.41 ^{sB} | 66.36 ± 2.87 ^{bA} |
| Carrots pickle | 31.81 ± 2.67 ^{aA} | nd | 33.61 ± 3.87 ^{iA} | nd | 63.92 ± 2.63 ^{pA} | nd |
| Eggplant pickle | 5.57 ± 2.59 ^{kA} | nd | 34.61 ± 4.21 ^{iA} | 30.91 ± 9.54 ^{fB} | 135.47 ± 2.56 ^{fA} | 66.36 ± 2.58 ^{bB} |
| Gherkins pickle | 3.13 ± 4.06 ^{oA} | nd | 32.81 ± 2.53 ^{kA} | nd | 62.88 ± 1.47 ^{tA} | nd |
| White cabbage pickle | 0.08 ± 1.69 ^{vA} | nd | 30.08 ± 4.69 ^{sA} | nd | 62.42 ± 2.65 ^{uvA} | nd |
| Pine cones pickle | 6.57 ± 2.49 ^{hA} | 1.12 ± 0.92 ^{cB} | 32.05 ± 2.49 ^{mnA} | 31.37 ± 2.93 ^{bcB} | 62.28 ± 4.10 ^{vyA} | nd |
| Tomato dip pickle | 3.17 ± 4.31 ^{oA} | nd | 32.14 ± 3.21 ^{mnA} | 30.92 ± 5.69 ^{fB} | 62.46 ± 3.47 ^{uvB} | 66.33 ± 4.76 ^{bA} |
| Hot pepper pickle | 8.88 ± 1.23 ^{fA} | 1.02 ± 0.91 ^{eB} | 74.61 ± 3.28 ^{aA} | 30.92 ± 3.51 ^{fB} | 96.54 ± 2.84 ^{hA} | 66.47 ± 0.27 ^{bB} |
| Tomatoes pickle | 2.97 ± 3.51 ^{pA} | nd | 32.43 ± 1.52 ^{iA} | nd | 62.94 ± 4.51 ^{tA} | nd |
| Okra pickle | 16.19 ± 1.06 ^{cA} | 1.03 ± 3.13 ^{eB} | 68.52 ± 3.29 ^{bA} | 31.50 ± 0.59 ^{bB} | 73.67 ± 1.68 ^{kA} | 66.44 ± 1.65 ^{bB} |

TABLE 4 Continued

| | Vanillic Acid | | Caffeic acid | | Chlorogenic acid | |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Green almonds pickle | 6.03 ± 3.56 ^{IA} | 1.10 ± 0.10 ^{cdB} | 32.07 ± 0.48 ^{mnA} | 31.21 ± 4.67 ^{cdB} | 67.82 ± 3.56 ^{mA} | 66.34 ± 3.57 ^{bbB} |
| Ornamental pepper pickle | 5.28 ± 3.31 ^{IA} | 0.95 ± 0.73 ^{FB} | 35.81 ± 2.45 ^{hA} | 30.98 ± 1.79 ^{efB} | 95.10 ± 1.97 ^{IA} | 66.41 ± 0.59 ^{bbB} |
| Green beans pickle | 2.83 ± 0.79 ^{rsA} | nd | 31.16 ± 3.93 ^{BA} | nd | 62.82 ± 0.59 ^{tA} | nd |
| Capia pepper pickle | 12.65 ± 0.16 ^{dA} | 1.04 ± 0.68 ^{EB} | 50.70 ± 2.61 ^{CA} | 31.05 ± 1.73 ^{defB} | 137.03 ± 2.90 ^{EA} | 66.36 ± 2.91 ^{bbB} |
| Greengage plums pickle | 0.17 ± 2.69 ^{xA} | nd | 32.45 ± 0.58 ^{IA} | 31.04 ± 0.97 ^{defB} | 233.15 ± 2.56 ^{AA} | 66.35 ± 1.75 ^{bbB} |
| Wild pears pickle | 2.89 ± 3.41 ^{prA} | 1.28 ± 2.73 ^{BB} | 37.84 ± 1.49 ^{fA} | 31.55 ± 6.12 ^{bbB} | 167.71 ± 4.12 ^{dA} | 66.50 ± 0.73 ^{abbB} |
| White cucumber pickle | 3.97 ± 1.09 ^{mA} | nd | 31.60 ± 2.59 ^{oA} | 31.50 ± 4.49 ^{BA} | 62.34 ± 6.23 ^{uvyA} | nd |
| Mixed vegetables ¹ pickle | 2.45 ± 3.24 ^{tA} | nd | 32.91 ± 3.41 ^{kA} | 31.36 ± 2.84 ^{bcB} | 67.93 ± 2.48 ^{mA} | nd |
| Mixed vegetables ² pickle | 2.94 ± 1.63 ^{pA} | nd | 32.84 ± 7.12 ^{kA} | 31.12 ± 4.67 ^{deB} | 67.49 ± 1.65 ^{nA} | nd |
| Bitter greengage pickle | 6.08 ± 2.42 ^{IA} | nd | 32.80 ± 4.21 ^{kA} | 30.96 ± 6.82 ^{efB} | 73.23 ± 0.58 ^{IA} | nd |
| Jalapeno peppers pickle | 4.78 ± 3.28 ^{IA} | 0.96 ± 0.46 ^{FB} | 34.56 ± 1.38 ^{IA} | nd | 64.91 ± 1.58 ^{oB} | 66.35 ± 0.26 ^{baA} |
| Sour grapes pickle | 0.84 ± 3.41 ^{vB} | 2.35 ± 3.47 ^{AA} | 30.36 ± 1.42 ^{rB} | 31.18 ± 3.72 ^{dA} | 62.33 ± 4.21 ^{lvyA} | nd |
| Unripe melon pickle | 0.98 ± 1.12 ^{uA} | nd | 30.06 ± 0.62 ^{sA} | nd | 62.48 ± 1.59 ^{uA} | nd |
| Cauliflower pickle | 2.78 ± 0.27 ^{sA} | nd | 30.45 ± 1.19 ^{rA} | nd | 62.21 ± 3.63 ^{yA} | nd |
| Rock samphire pickle | 6.21 ± 3.29 ^{IA} | 0.92 ± 1.75 ^{FB} | 32.22 ± 2.33 ^{mA} | 30.96 ± 4.92 ^{ef} | 200.30 ± 1.52 ^{BA} | 66.68 ± 5.75 ^{abB} |
| Sweet long green pepper pickle | 0.35 ± 2.74 ^{wB} | 0.96 ± 0.11 ^{fA} | 44.68 ± 2.75 ^{dA} | 30.92 ± 6.83 ^f | 179.46 ± 1.77 ^{CA} | 66.51 ± 0.49 ^{abbB} |
| Bitter long green pepper pickle | 7.75 ± 4.32 ^{GA} | 1.06 ± 0.52 ^{deB} | 41.33 ± 1.37 ^{eA} | 30.73 ± 0.67 ^E | 76.34 ± 1.67 ^{IA} | 66.45 ± 0.03 ^{bbB} |
| Sloes pickle | 9.72 ± 1.74 ^{EA} | nd | 32.41 ± 0.43 ^{IA} | 30.91 ± 4.85 ^f | 132.62 ± 2.56 ^{GA} | 66.37 ± 2.96 ^{bbB} |
| | <i>p</i> -coumaric acid | | <i>trans</i> -ferulic acid | | Sinapic acid | |
| Chard pickle | nd | nd | nd | 0.17 ± 3.79 ^{dA} | 136.03 ± 2.63 ^{nA} | 107.71 ± 2.26 ^{abB} |
| Purple cabbage pickle | nd | nd | 68.03 ± 3.48 ^{AA} | 0.35 ± 6.47 ^{CB} | 136.75 ± 5.81 ^{IA} | 107.70 ± 1.69 ^{abB} |
| Beetroot pickle | nd | nd | nd | 0.43 ± 5.67 ^{BA} | 136.28 ± 2.49 ^{klA} | 107.21 ± 1.98 ^{CB} |
| Carrots pickle | nd | nd | nd | 0.02 ± 3.71 ^{ghiA} | 136.12 ± 3.14 ^{lmnA} | 104.46 ± 5.87 ^{hijB} |
| Eggplant pickle | nd | nd | nd | 0.01 ± 5.71 ^{hiA} | 137.80 ± 3.41 ^{GA} | 104.32 ± 3.42 ^{hijkB} |
| Gherkins pickle | nd | nd | nd | 0.03 ± 2.74 ^{ghiA} | 139.28 ± 4.18 ^{dA} | 104.28 ± 4.27 ^{ijkB} |
| White cabbage pickle | nd | nd | nd | 0.02 ± 1.75 ^{ghiA} | 136.38 ± 1.37 ^{kA} | 104.30 ± 6.95 ^{hijkB} |
| Pine cones pickle | nd | nd | nd | 0.53 ± 1.79 ^{AA} | 137.64 ± 2.59 ^{ghA} | 104.43 ± 1.73 ^{hijkB} |
| Tomato dip pickle | nd | nd | nd | 0.04 ± 1.89 ^{ghiA} | 138.55 ± 4.27 ^{fA} | 104.49 ± 8.86 ^{ghB} |
| Hot pepper pickle | nd | nd | nd | 0.10 ± 1.84 ^{fA} | 136.29 ± 2.59 ^{klA} | 104.29 ± 4.62 ^{hijkB} |
| Tomatoes pickle | nd | nd | nd | 0.02 ± 4.67 ^{ghiA} | 140.29 ± 0.41 ^{CA} | 104.33 ± 5.87 ^{hijkB} |
| Okra pickle | nd | nd | 5.06 ± 2.09 ^{BA} | 0.16 ± 3.13 ^{deB} | 138.97 ± 6.24 ^{EA} | 104.28 ± 2.79 ^{ijkB} |
| Green almonds pickle | nd | nd | nd | 0.16 ± 3.36 ^{deA} | 135.97 ± 0.66 ^{nA} | 104.66 ± 4.73 ^{GB} |
| Ornamental pepper pickle | nd | nd | nd | 0.04 ± 5.53 ^{ghiA} | 136.022 ± 4.31 ^{nA} | 104.26 ± 4.73 ^{ijkB} |
| Green beans pickle | nd | nd | nd | 0.02 ± 2.74 ^{ghiA} | 136.24 ± 2.30 ^{klmA} | 104.31 ± 2.96 ^{hijkB} |
| Capia pepper pickle | nd | nd | 1.34 ± 2.83 ^{CA} | 0.18 ± 2.95 ^{dB} | 158.39 ± 2.53 ^{BA} | 104.42 ± 2.74 ^{hijkB} |
| Greengage plums pickle | nd | nd | nd | 0.03 ± 1.49 ^{ghiA} | 136.05 ± 2.69 ^{mnA} | 104.66 ± 2.41 ^{GB} |
| Wild pears pickle | nd | nd | nd | 0.15 ± 4.52 ^{deA} | 137.77 ± 3.61 ^{GA} | 105.78 ± 4.69 ^{EB} |

TABLE 4 Continued

| | <i>p</i> -coumaric acid | | <i>trans</i> -ferulic acid | | Sinapic acid | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|----|----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| White cucumber pickle | nd | nd | nd | 0.06 ± 1.63 ^{gA} | 137.24 ± 1.12 ^{iA} | 104.25 ± 1.76 ^{kB} |
| Mixed vegetables ¹ pickle | nd | nd | nd | 0.01 ± 3.79 ^{hiA} | 136.37 ± 1.97 ^{kA} | 104.28 ± 4.72 ^{ijkB} |
| Mixed vegetables ² pickle | nd | nd | nd | nd | 136.63 ± 1.17 ^{iA} | 105.84 ± 2.86 ^{eB} |
| Bitter greengage pickle | nd | nd | nd | 0.04 ± 0.83 ^{ghiA} | 137.21 ± 1.73 ^{iA} | 106.30 ± 3.28 ^{dB} |
| Jalapeno peppers pickle | nd | nd | nd | 0.03 ± 3.49 ^{ghiA} | 137.13 ± 2.59 ^{iA} | 105.13 ± 4.71 ^{fB} |
| Sour grapes pickle | nd | nd | nd | nd | 135.91 ± 0.89 ^{nA} | 104.30 ± 1.29 ^{hijkB} |
| Unripe melon pickle | nd | nd | nd | 0.13 ± 4.74 ^{efA} | 135.96 ± 1.79 ^{nA} | 107.43 ± 2.64 ^{bB} |
| Cauliflower pickle | nd | nd | nd | nd | 137.52 ± 3.72 ^{hA} | 104.66 ± 1.21 ^{gB} |
| Rock samphire pickle | nd | nd | nd | 0.03 ± 1.63 ^{ghiA} | 136.28 ± 1.47 ^{kIA} | 107.40 ± 5.41 ^{bB} |
| Sweet long green pepper pickle | nd | nd | nd | nd | 236.32 ± 1.79 ^{aA} | 106.18 ± 1.16 ^{dB} |
| Bitter long green pepper pickle | nd | nd | nd | 0.05 ± 0.01 ^{ghA} | 136.10 ± 0.59 ^{lmnA} | 104.37 ± 2.34 ^{hijkB} |
| Sloes pickle | nd | nd | nd | 0.16 ± 2.52 ^{deA} | 135.95 ± 1.76 ^{nA} | 104.47 ± 4.35 ^{hiB} |

^{a-y}Within each column, values with different superscript (lower case letter) are significantly different ($p < .001$).

^{A,B}Within each line, values with different superscript (capital letter) are significantly different ($p < .05$).

Abbreviation: nd, not detected.

an effective role in the treatment of diabetes-sourced wounds because it has the ability to modify glucose metabolism. Chlorogenic acid protects endothelial cells against oxidative stress and neurons from the toxic effects of glutamate (Kaushik et al., 2017; Meinhart, Caldeirão, Damin, Filho, & Godoy, 2018). According to our results, the juice portion of greengage plum pickle was rich in chlorogenic acid (233.15 ± 2.56 mg/L). The highest concentration of chlorogenic acid in the pulp portion of samples was also found in rock samphire pickle (66.68 ± 5.75 mg/kg) (Table 4). Mekinić et al. (2018) reported that rock samphire contains vanillic acid, caffeic acid, cinnamic acid, and chlorogenic acid.

Caffeic acid was detected in all juice portions of lacto-fermented fruits and vegetables. In the pulp portions of other samples except eight (carrot, gherkins, white cabbage, tomato, green bean, jalapeno pepper, unripe melon, and cauliflower) caffeic acid was also found. The highest concentration of caffeic acid in the juice portions of samples was determined in hot pepper pickle (74.61 ± 2.28 mg/L), followed by okra pickle (68.52 ± 3.29 mg/L), and capia pepper pickle (50.70 ± 2.61 mg/L) (Table 4).

The richest pickle sample in terms of vanillic acid was carrot pickle (31.81 ± 2.67 mg/L in juice portion). The carrot pickle was followed by beetroot pickle (17.15 ± 3.76 mg/L in juice portion) and okra pickle (16.19 ± 1.06 mg/L in juice portion). The highest concentration of gallic acid in pulp portions was found in hot pepper pickle (248.81 ± 0.01 mg/kg), followed by okra pickle (204.99 ± 0.01 mg/kg) (Table 4). Okra is a vegetable unique to tropical and subtropical

regions of the world. It may be consumed as either fresh or dried and its leaves and seeds are also edible. As it contains vitamin C, carotenoids, and flavonoids in significant amounts, the okra also exhibits antioxidant property (Petropoulos, Fernandes, Barros, & Ferreira, 2018).

Gallic acid is derived from shikimic acid and is a component of tannins that can be hydrolyzed in plants. Gallic acid and its derivatives are found in many fruits such as hazelnut, grape, and strawberry and in drinks like tea and wine (Dávalos, Lima, Santos, Romero, & Liebman, 2019; Lima et al., 2016). While the highest concentration of gallic acid in pickle samples was found in the juice portion of wild pear pickle (146.81 ± 1.69 mg/L), the highest value in the pulp portion was determined in hot pepper pickle (248.81 ± 0.01 mg/kg). The concentrations of gallic acid in both juice and pulp portions of green almond pickle were determined as 80.18 ± 5.72 mg/L and 165.77 ± 2.41 mg/kg, respectively (Table 4). Kaneria, Rakholiya, Marsonia, Dave, and Golakiya (2018) reported that gallic acid was among the phenolic acids found in green almonds. Singh et al. (2016) identified gallic, vanillic, and sinapic acids in green almonds and reported that the amounts of these phenolic acids were 135.5, 22.15, and 8.8 µg/g, respectively.

Of pickle samples analyzed, there were 6 kinds of pepper pickles. Gallic acid was identified in pepper pickles except jalapeno. Zhuang et al. (2012) detected 0.48–16.12 µg/g FW gallic acid in eight of nine fresh pepper. In addition, we determined that all pepper varieties contained syringic acid (Table 4).

Of the 9 phenolic acids, five were hydroxycinnamic acids and four were hydroxybenzoic acids. The concentrations of hydroxycinnamic acids were higher than those of hydroxybenzoic acids in most of the investigated pickles. In the juice portions of 16 kinds of pickles, the total concentrations of hydroxycinnamic acids were higher than the total concentrations of hydroxybenzoic acids. On the other hand, the total concentrations of hydroxycinnamic acids in the pulp portions of 27 kinds of pickles were higher than those of hydroxybenzoic acids. Hydroxycinnamic acids exhibit higher antioxidant activity compared to hydroxybenzoic acids. The higher antioxidant activity of the hydroxycinnamic acid could be due to the CH=CH-COOH group, which ensures greater H-donating ability and radical stabilization than the -COOH group in the hydroxybenzoic acids (Balasundram et al., 2006).

4 | CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, the qualitative and quantitative analyses of 9 phenolic acids in 30 kinds of lacto-fermented fruits and vegetables were performed considering pulp and juice portions. Phenolic acid profiles and total phenolic content were clearly higher in the juice portion than in the pulp portion. Gallic acid (detected in 22 pickles), chlorogenic, vanillic, caffeic, sinapic acids (detected in 30 pickles), *trans*-ferulic acid (detected in 3 pickles), and syringic acid (detected in 21 pickles) were found in the juice portion of pickles. On the other hand, gallic acid (detected in 15 pickles), chlorogenic acid (detected in 17 pickles), vanillic acid (detected in 12 pickles), caffeic acid (detected in 22 pickles), sinapic acid (detected in 30 pickles), *trans*-ferulic acid (detected in 16 pickles), and syringic acid (detected in 1 pickle) were found in the pulp portion of pickles. *p*-Coumaric acid and 4-hydroxybenzoic acid were not detected in both the pulp and juice portions of pickles. The juice and pulp portions created statistically significant differences ($p < .05$) in the phenolic composition and antioxidant activities of pickles. It was concluded that the lacto-fermented fruits and vegetables are a good source of dietary phenolic compounds and have strong antioxidant activity.

Considering the variety of plant materials, various materials from wild fruits to aromatic plants may be used in order to increase the product range in the production of traditional products like pickles. Generally, pickle consumption habit is intrinsic to the pulp portions. If salt in pickle production is carefully used, it may be recommended that the production of pickle juice as a functional food is popularized and that consumers may change the propensity to consume pulp only.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research was supported by Nevşehir Hacı Bektaş Veli University Rectorate, Scientific Research Projects Coordination Unit (NEULUP 16/2F5).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors have declared no conflicts of interest for this article.

ORCID

Hilal Yıldız  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7966-455X>

REFERENCES

- Aguilar-Hernández, I., Afseth, N. K., López-Luke, T., Contreras-Torres, F. F., Wold, J. P., & Ornelas-Soto, N. (2017). Surface enhanced Raman spectroscopy of phenolic antioxidants: A systematic evaluation of ferulic acid, *p*-coumaric acid, caffeic acid and sinapic acid. *Vibrational Spectroscopy*, *89*, 113–122. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vibspec.2017.02.002>
- Balasundram, N., Sundram, K., & Samman, S. (2006). Phenolic compounds in plants and agri-industrial by-products: Antioxidant activity, occurrence, and potential uses. *Food Chemistry*, *99*, 191–203. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2005.07.042>
- Blanco-Rios, A. K., Medina-Juarez, L. A., Gamez-Meza, N., Blanco-Rios, A. K., Medina-Juarez, L. A., & Gamez-Meza, N. (2017). Drying and pickling on phenols, capsaicinoids, and free radical-scavenging activity in Anaheim and Jalapeño peppers. *Ciência Rural*, *47*, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1590/0103-8478cr20160722>
- Blasa, M., Gennari, L., Angelino, D., & Ninfali, P. (2010). Fruit and vegetable antioxidants in health. *Bioactive Foods in Promoting Health, Fruits and Vegetables*, *3*, 37–58.
- Çetin, B. (2011). Production of probiotic mixed pickles (turşu) and microbiological properties. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, *10*, 14926–14931. <https://doi.org/10.5897/AJB11.2621>
- Cheemanapalli, S., Mopuri, R., Golla, R., Anuradha, C. M., & Chitt, S. K. (2018). Syringic acid (SA)—A review of its occurrence, biosynthesis, pharmacological and industrial importance. *Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy*, *108*, 547–557. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2018.09.069>
- Dávalos, J. Z., Lima, C. F. R. A. C., Santos, L. M. N. B. F., Romero, V. L., & Liebman, J. F. (2019). Thermochemical and structural studies of gallic and ellagic acids. *The Journal of Chemical Thermodynamics*, *129*, 108–113. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jct.2018.09.027>
- Deng, G. F., Lin, X., Xu, X. R., Gao, L. L., Xie, J. F., & Li, H. B. (2013). Antioxidant capacities and total phenolic contents of 56 vegetables. *Journal of Functional Foods*, *5*, 260–266. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jff.2012.10.015>
- Dragovic-Uzelac, V., Delonga, K., Levaj, B., Djakovic, S., & Pospisil, J. (2005). Phenolic profiles of raw apricots, pumpkins, and their purees in the evaluation of apricot nectar and jam authenticity. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, *53*, 4836–4842. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf040494a>
- Ellong, E. N., Billard, C., Adenet, S., & Rochefort, K. (2015). Polyphenols, carotenoids, Vitamin C content in tropical fruits and vegetables and impact of processing methods. *Food and Nutrition Sciences*, *6*, 299–313. <https://doi.org/10.4236/fns.2015.63030>
- Flores, N., Sirés, I., Garrido, J. A., Centellas, F., Rodríguez, R. M., Cabot, P. L., & Brillas, E. (2016). Degradation of *trans*-ferulic acid in acidic aqueous medium by anodic oxidation, electro-Fenton and photo-electro-Fenton. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, *319*, 3–12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2015.11.040>
- Gundogdu, M. (2013). Determination of antioxidant capacities and biochemical compounds of *Berberis vulgaris* L. fruits. *Advances in Environmental Biology*, *7*, 344–348.
- Haminiuk, C. W. I., Maciel, G. M., Plata-Oviedo, M. S. V., & Peralta, R. M. (2012). Phenolic compounds in fruits—An overview. *International Journal of Food Science & Technology*, *47*, 2023–2044. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2621.2012.03067.x>
- Hounsome, N., Hounsome, B., Tomos, D., & Edwards-Jones, G. (2009). Changes in antioxidant compounds in white cabbage during winter storage. *Postharvest Biology and Technology*, *52*, 173–179. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.postharvbio.2008.11.004>
- Jiang, N., Liu, C., Li, D., Zhang, Z., Liu, C., Wang, D., ... Zhang, M. (2017). Evaluation of freeze drying combined with microwave vacuum

- drying for functional okra snacks: Antioxidant properties, sensory quality, and energy consumption. *LWT- Food Science and Technology*, 82, 216–226. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2017.04.015>
- Kaneria, M. J., Rakholiya, K. D., Marsonia, L. R., Dave, R. A., & Golakiya, B. A. (2018). Nontargeted metabolomics approach to determine metabolites profile and antioxidant study of tropical Almond (*Terminalia catappa* L.) fruit peels using GC-QTOF-MS and LC-QTOF-MS. *Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis*, 160, 415–427.
- Kaushik, P., Gramazio, P., Vilanova, S., Raigón, M. D., Prohens, J., & Plazas, M. (2017). Phenolics content, fruit flesh colour and browning in cultivated eggplant, wild relatives and interspecific hybrids and implications for fruit quality breeding. *Food Research International*, 102, 392–401. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2017.09.028>
- Khanam, U. K. S., Oba, S., Yanase, E., & Murakami, Y. (2012). Phenolic acids, flavonoids and total antioxidant capacity of selected leafy vegetables. *Journal of Functional Foods*, 4, 979–987. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jff.2012.07.006>
- Lee, H., Oh, I. N., Kim, J., Jung, D., Cuong, N. P., Kim, Y., ... Park, J. T. (2018). Phenolic compound profiles and their seasonal variations in new red-phenotype head-forming Chinese cabbages. *LWT- Food Science and Technology*, 90, 433–439. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2017.12.006>
- Lima, V. N., Oliveira-Tintino, C. D. M., Santos, E. S., Morais, L. P., Tintino, S. R., Freitas, T. S., ... Coutinho, H. D. M. (2016). Antimicrobial and enhancement of the antibiotic activity by phenolic compounds: Gallic acid, caffeic acid and pyrogallol. *Microbial Pathogenesis*, 99, 56–61. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath.2016.08.004>
- Lutz, M., Hernández, J., & Henríquez, C. (2015). Phenolic content and antioxidant capacity in fresh and dry fruits and vegetables grown in Chile. *CyTA-Journal of Food*, 13, 541–547.
- Martinović, N., Abramović, H., & Ulrih, N. P. (2019). Inhibition of copper-induced lipid peroxidation by sinapic acid and its derivatives in correlation to their effect on the membrane structural properties. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Biomembranes*, 1861, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamem.2018.10.008>
- Meinhart, A. D., Caldeirão, L., Damin, F. M., Filho, J. T., & Godoy, H. T. (2018). Analysis of chlorogenic acids isomers and caffeic acid in 89 herbal infusions (tea). *Journal of Food Composition and Analysis*, 73, 76–82. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfca.2018.08.001>
- Mekinić, G. I., Simat, V., Ljubenkov, I., Burćul, F., Grga, M., Mihajlovski, M., ... Skroz, D. (2018). Influence of the vegetation period on sea fennel, *Crithmum maritimum* L. (Apiaceae), phenolic composition, antioxidant and anticholinesterase activities. *Industrial Crops & Products*, 124, 947–953. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2018.08.080>
- Meng, J., Fang, Y., Zhang, A., Chen, S., Xu, T., Ren, Z., ... Wang, H. (2011). Phenolic content and antioxidant capacity of Chinese raisins produced in Xinjiang Province. *Food Research International*, 44, 2830–2836. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2011.06.032>
- Meot-Duros, L., & Magné, C. (2009). Antioxidant activity and phenol content of *Crithmum maritimum* L. leaves. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*, 47, 37–41. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plaphy.2008.09.006>
- Mizgier, P., Kucharska, A. Z., Sokół-Łętowska, A., Kolniak-Ostek, J., Kidoń, M., & Fecka, I. (2016). Characterization of phenolic compounds and antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties of red cabbage and purple carrot extracts. *Journal of Functional Foods*, 21, 133–146. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jff.2015.12.004>
- Patra, J. K., Das, G., Paramithiotis, S., & Shin, H. (2016). Kimchi and other widely consumed traditional fermented foods of Korea: A review. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 7, 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.01493>
- Petropoulos, S., Fernandes, Â., Barros, L., & Ferreira, I. C. F. R. (2018). Chemical composition, nutritional value and antioxidant properties of Mediterranean okra genotypes in relation to harvest stage. *Food Chemistry*, 242, 466–474. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2017.09.082>
- Rodríguez, H., Curiel, J. A., Landete, J. M., de lasRivas, B., deFelipe, F. L., Gómez-Cordovés, C., ... Muñoz, R. (2009). Food phenolics and lactic acid bacteria. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 132, 79–90. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2009.03.025>
- Sayin, F. K., & Alkan, S. B. (2015). The effect of pickling on total phenolic contents and antioxidant activity of 10 vegetables. *Journal of Food and Health Science*, 1, 135–141. <https://doi.org/10.3153/JFHS15013>
- Şengül, M., Erkaya, T., Şengül, M., & Yıldız, H. (2012). The effect of adding sour cherry pulp into yoghurt on the physicochemical properties, phenolic content and antioxidant activity during storage. *International Journal of Dairy Technology*, 65, 429–436. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-0307.2012.00838.x>
- Singh, A., Bajpai, V., Kumar, S., Kumar, B., Srivastava, M., & Rameshkumar, K. B. (2016). Comparative profiling of phenolic compounds from different plant parts of six *Terminalia* species by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry with chemometric analysis. *Industrial Crops and Products*, 87, 236–246. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2016.04.048>
- Thiruvengadam, M., & Chung, I. M. (2015). Phenolic compound production and biological activities from *in vitro* regenerated plants of gherkin (*Cucumis anguria* L.). *Electronic Journal of Biotechnology*, 18, 295–301. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejbt.2015.05.005>
- Tinrat, S. (2016). Antioxidant activities and total phenolic content of multi-colored fruits and vegetables in Thailand. *KKU Research Journal*, 21, 1–11.
- Xu, L., Yue, Q., Bian, F., Zhai, H., & Yao, Y. (2018). Melatonin treatment enhances the polyphenol content and antioxidant capacity of red Wine. *Horticultural Plant Journal*, 4, 144–150. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hpj.2018.05.004>
- Yi, J., Cheng, C., Li, S., Wang, D., Wang, L., & Wang, Z. (2018). Preparation optimization and protective effect on ⁶⁰Co-γ radiation damage of *Pinus koraiensis* pinecone polyphenols microspheres. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 113, 583–591. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2018.02.131>
- Yi, J., Qu, H., Wu, Y., Wang, Z., & Wang, L. (2017). Study on antitumor, antioxidant and immunoregulatory activities of the purified polyphenols from pinecone of *Pinus koraiensis* on tumor-bearing S180 mice *in vivo*. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 94, 735–744. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2016.10.071>
- Yıldız, H. (2011). *Isolation-identification of lactic acid bacteria and yeasts from pickle and olive and determination of some properties of isolates* (PhD Thesis) (p. 2). Ataturk University, Turkey.
- Yoon, J. Y., Chung, I. M., & Thiruvengadam, M. (2015). Evaluation of phenolic compounds, antioxidant and antimicrobial activities from transgenic hairy root cultures of gherkin (*Cucumis anguria* L.). *South African Journal of Botany*, 100, 80–86. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sajb.2015.05.008>
- Zhang, X., Han, B., Feng, Z. M., Yang, Y. N., Jiang, J. S., & Zhang, P. C. (2018). Ferulic acid derivatives from *Ligusticum chuansiong*. *Fitoterapia*, 125, 147–154. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fitote.2018.01.005>
- Zhuang, Y., Chen, L., Sun, L., & Cao, J. (2012). Bioactive characteristics and antioxidant activities of nine peppers. *Journal of Functional Foods*, 4, 331–338. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jff.2012.01.001>

How to cite this article: Cinviz M, Yildiz H. Determination of phenolic acid profiles by HPLC in lacto-fermented fruits and vegetables (pickle): Effect of pulp and juice portions. *J Food Process Preserv*. 2020;00:e14542. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jfpp.14542>